

Best Available Copy

Remarks

Claims 1-17 were rejected due to the judicially created doctrine of double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S.P.N. 6,787,897.

Enclosed is a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). The rejection is believed to be overcome.

Conclusion

If the Examiner has any further questions or would like to discuss this application in more detail, he is invited to call the applicants' agent at the telephone number given below. The applicants respectfully suggest that the claims presently in the application are distinct over the prior art and that the application is now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the applicants solicit favorable action.

Respectfully submitted,

Kendra J. Gallup, et al.

Pamela Lau Kee

Pamela Lau Kee
Patent Reg. No. 36,184

4 February 2005
Agilent Technologies
Intellectual Property Administration
Legal Department, M/S DL-429
815 SW 14 th Street
Loveland CO 80537
(408) 553-3059